pp.F.IX-4]

WD2239.B490.

1921. ✓

File No. 265 - 21.

Previous References. (Mysore)

Serial Nos. 27, 28, 31+3

Mis
Contains 29 - 21 - 7

Later references.
Mysg 265-21-33-57
194-30-1-6

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for July 25

SUBJECT

Scheme Suit for the better management of Sri Subramhanya Swami temple at Subramhanya S.K.

32) To
The Mysore Commissioner
in Mysore (Bangalore)
No 8331-25.

Requests him to watch the proceedings in the Sub-Court-d in case the Scheme suit is successful to urge the claims of the Mysore Govt. to nominate the executive members of the Committee and to report the result to Govt for information.

R Sadasiva

Muz 1] 1923-24.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES

File No. 265-21.

FILE HEADING

Scheme suit for the better management of Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Venkataramanapura.

From

The Muzrai Commr in Mysore.

No C.452. Dated 14.5.24. Received 17.5.24.

Diary No. 2221 | Enclos. 1 file. Spare Copies. | Serial No 27

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for perusal & return copy of 1st defendant Anantaramappayya's written statement in the above suit, together with a copy of the Sub-judge's order d. 31.3.24 sanctioning the appointment of a joint Receiver for the management of the temple affairs.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The connected file is put up for perusal.

It is seen from the Sub-judge's order that the 1st defendant, Anantaramappayya has been permitted to continue as manager of the temple & appointed as Joint Receiver on his furnishing security to an extent of Rs 5000 within

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—5PB—500—9 8 22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz File No. 265 of 1924.

Serial No

three weeks from the date of the order (21.5.24), and that one Mr. K. B. Kanauth, B.A. LL.B., a practising Vakil, has been appointed as a joint Receiver along with the 1st defendant on a salary of Rs. 100 per mensem to be paid out of the temple funds.

2 The Muzrai Commr. does not however state as to what action he has taken to give effect to the suggestions of the Collector of South Canara that one or two more representatives of the Bhajane Gowl should be taken on the Committee of Management. Nor is any reference made in the Subordinate Judge's order to this point.

Submitted, pen orders.

MV. 28.5.24. 3) Para 4 of the order itself says it is to be passed as a temporary measure. The D.C. has been asked to keep in view the question of having the Bhajane Gowl Community represented in the Committee of management of the temple. Further developments should be watched by the D.C. and steps taken as in the matter in due course. Meanwhile the Asst. Commr. be requested to have [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561 GPB—3750—1 S 22

28/5/24

30.5.24

SAW 4/6 7/48 31.5 2nd member (4) Action [illegible]

1653

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 265 of 1921.

Serial No.

5) Draft letter submd.
MV.
4.6.24. BL 4.6.24.
6) Issue
D
4.6.24.

28 To the Muzrai Commr. No. 17 95 dt. 7.6.24.
Requests that the D.C. of Hassan may be asked to
watch further developments & to take neces-
sary steps in the matter of the Muzrai
grant being adequately represented on the
Committee of Management of the temple.

27 From, the Muz. Comr.
Letter no. 455-2 dt 10.6.25.
Submits further report
called for in Sl. 28
The Muz. Comr states that
the point for consideration
is whether the mysore govt
should press its claims for
representation on the Board
of management before
the Sub judge in whose
court the suit is pending

NOTE—Contn. sheet

W12 0581—GIB—3750—1-8 22

Serial No.

or whether it should wait until the decision is given in the matter. He requests Legal Secretary's opinion on the point. The Scheme Suit is posted for hearing to the 15th July 1925.

As directed by the Asstt Secretary the previous connections on the subject are submitted.

5
(265-21
Sts 22-24)

In para 7 of his proceedings dt 20-3-1923, while according sanction to file a scheme suit, the Collector, S Canara, has stated that an adequate representation be made in the selection of a Committee to manage the institution by taking one or more representatives of the Mysore Govt.

On the point now raised by the Mysore Govt the Legal Secretary's opinion has already been taken, and the Dy. Comr has been informed through the Mysore Govt that Govt need not interfere in the scheme suit before the Sub Judge, and that the Dy. Comr might [illegible] the matter

Dy. Ho)

6

File No. of 19

General and R venue Secretariat.

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

in view and take timely action to give effect to the suggestions of the Collector in communication with them.

Secretary

In view of this Office 16.6.25 letter no. 820 of no. 7265-4-25 of 12-12-23, to the Dy Comr. Hassan & through the Mysz Comr., this further action seems necessary. First case. But as stated by the Dy Comr. in his letter. Copy sent by the M.C., a letter in the terms proposed may perhaps be addressed to the Collector, South Canara, by the Mysz Comr. himself on his behalf by Govt. If so decided the M.C. will be replied accordingly.

16-6-25

Rev-Secy 17.6.25

Pleas see the letter from Govt. and a copy of the act noting contents and in reading this case it is necessary that the Govt. should be informed of the fact that the Govt. has been informed of the same.

Govt

16.6.25.

A copy of Govt. P.C. (Vide Col. Secy 92 page 226).

HL 22.6.25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

It is the Court that settles the Scheme of management. But the Collector may make his suggestions. But before he does it, the Court must accept the plaintiffs' case.

23 6 25

Now suggested Action may be taken as suggested as a matter of

1894

25/6

25. 6. 25.

478

25-6-25

It is necessary at this stage to decide who our representatives on the managing Board should be of a Scheme of management is framed by this Court.

All that is necessary now is to have the proceedings in this Court adjourned for a week or so. In this Case the Scheme Court should ~~[illegible]~~ the Secretary to the Board to write to the Collector of Ratnagiri for the consideration of this Court the names of three Ratnagiri gentlemen, one or two (as this Court may consider proper) of whom may be appointed members of the managing body with the Collector as Chairman. The Collector will then ascertain from them whether they are willing to undertake the management of the institution. When they have given their consent to act as managers

NOTE—Contn Sheet

1853

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 19 /.

Serial No.	
	A draft letter is sent to the Collector of Salem asking him to send a full
	Mr Secretary 29.6.25. 29.6.25. 29.6.25.
562/30.6	182 members Mo' L & L Reg) Draft is altered. 29.6
	Apps 29.6.25 30/6 30.6 30.6.25.
32.	To The Govt Bombay. G.O.Ms 3.7.25 Requests him to weaken the proceedings in the Sal-Council on behalf of Mysore Govt and in case the Scheme Suit is successful to urge the claims of the Mysore Govt

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Mus2 File No. 265 of 1921

General and Revenue Secretariat.

to nominate the executive members of the Committee and to report the result thereof for

Serial No.

June 3:17

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

42651

35482

No. C 4527 254 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
250 miscl Dated Bangalore, 14th May 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A., Mang. 265-21-27.
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

17 MAY 1924 MUZRAI

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Dno. 2221.

16 MAY 1924

Sir,

Referring to your letter No.820 dated 12th December 1923 regarding the suit filed in the court of the Sub Judge of South Canara for the better management of the Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple at ---- Subrahmanya, I have the honor to submit herewith for perusal and kind return copy of 1st Defendant Mr. Anantaramappaya's written statement together with a copy of Subordinate Judge's order dated 31st March - 1924 sanctioning the appointment of a Joint Receiver for the management of the temple affairs.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

42651

Misc 265-24 10

No. C 452/23-24
Revenue.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 17th April 1925.

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Fr 1659

AV 20/4/25.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.452 of 23-24 -- dated 14th March 1925, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter O.C.No.484-21/dated 14th April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, together with a copy of its enclosure regarding the management of the temple of Sri Subrahmanyaswami at Subrahmanya and to state that on receipt of the report promised by the Deputy Commissioner in the concluding portion of para 1, of his letter a further communication embodying my views for an ---- adequate representation of Mysore interests on the committee of management will be made in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

BL

The further report promised may be awaited.

MM 27.4.25 KSR. 27/4/25

Secretary 27.4.25.

738/24 Hd member

22/4 30/4 30.4.25

Personal [illegible]

[illegible]

JM 1/5

Letter No.C.C.484-26 dated 13/14th April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - -

"With reference to your letter No.C.452/23-24 dated 1st April -- 1925 regarding the management of the Sri Subramanyaswami temple at -- Subramanya, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.566 dated 1st April 1925 from the Sub Judge, South Canara, Mangalore, intimati that the scheme suit stands posted to 15th July 1925 for trial after settl ment of issues. It seems therefore that the parties are likely to compromise as stated by Venkataramia and Thimmappaiah, may not come to pass. I am however again addressing the Sub Judge in the matter and shall submit a further report in due course.

In this connection I beg to invite reference to Revenue Secretary's letter No.820/265-21-26 dated 12th December 1923 and to enquire whether at the time of the hearing of the suit the filing of ~~xxxx~~ a written statement by the Government is necessary in regard to adequate representation of -- Mysore interests. The Collector in sanctioning the scheme suit has urged this point but it appears to me that the question of representation of -- Mysore interests can only arise after the scheme suit is settled and that this has to be settled later on in communication with the Collector.

As regards para 3 of your letter regarding the grant of travelling expenses to the Parapathegar a reference has been made to the Sub Division Officer for enquiry and report regarding the admissibility of the claim."

"C o p y"

For Muzral Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.D.566 dated the 1st April 1925 from the Subordinate Judge South Kanara, Mangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

- - - - - -

"With reference to your letter, dated 17-3-1925, I have the honor to inform you that the suit referred to therein viz., O.S.No.74 of 1923 on the file of this court, stands posted on 15th July 1925 for trial after settlement of issues. The result will be communicated to you as soon as the -- suit is disposed of."

"C o p y"

for Murrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ... .
.. ..
... .

Diary No. of letter replied to 221.

Section Muz.

File No. 15-Ed.

Serial No. 28

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 1795

To

The Muzrai Commr., in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 4452 d. 14.5.24 submitting for perusal a copy of the order of the Sub-Judge of South Canara d. 31.3.24 sanctioning the appointment of a Joint Receiver for the better management of the affairs of Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple at Subrahmanya, together with a copy of the written statement

Date of issue 7-6-24

Signed by M. T. Ramaiya

over.

of the 1st defendant Mr. Ananta-
filed in the suit,
ramappayya I am directed to request
that the Dt Commr of Kanara may
be asked to watch further develop-
ments ~~on the subject~~ and to take
necessary steps in the matter ~~to give effect to the suggestions of the~~
~~Collector of South Kanara as pointed out~~ of the Govt of Mysore being adequately repre-
sented on the Committee
of management of the temple
as indicated
in this office letter of 12th Dec 1923.

The copy of the written ~~petn~~ rept to
above as well as that of the Judge's orders are herewith returned.
such with your letter

I have &c.,

Yours to Govt,
Rev Dept.
4-6-24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

16

No. C.452 of 23-24 Office of the Mzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore, 18th October 1924.

17

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Mzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

18 OCT 1924 Bangalore

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT 20 OCT 1924 MZRAI

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mzrz 265-21-30.

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.452 of 23-24 dated - 26th August 1924 regarding the suit for the better management of the - Sri Subrahmanyeswara swami temple at Subrahmanya, I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government copy of letter C.C. No.484-21/dated 22nd September 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, ---- Hassan District, stating that the case has been posted for hearing on 7th October 1924.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ag. Mzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Further report may be awaited.

dm

Letter No.C.C.484-21/ dated 22nd September 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"I have the honor to state that the Mysore Parpathegar at ----- Subramanya reports that Case No.O.S.74/1923 has been posted for hearin on 7-10-24 in the Court of the Subordinate Judge at Mangalore. The report No.C.R.3 dated 6th September 1924, received from the Mysore --- Parapathegar is herewith sent."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

16/10/24.

KSR.

49712

No. 452/23-24
Eng

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th June 1925.
10th

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

10 JUN 1925 MUZRAI

Dy. 1853

Muz. 265-21-31.

To
Br. Muz.
10. JUN. 1925
G. D. SECTION

The Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

A.V. 11/6

1659 Dy. 26-6-25

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.452/23-24 dated - 18th April 1925 regarding the management of the temple at Subrahmanya I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter C.C.No.484-21/-- dated 11th May 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan ----- District, stating that a leading non-official gentleman of the ----- Manjarabad Taluk and an officer of Government of the rank and status of an Assistant Commissioner should be appointed as Representatives of the Mysore Government on the committee when the same comes into existence and that reference to the Collector of South Canara may be made requesting him to apprise the Government in time when the projected scheme is taken up.

2. The point for consideration now is whether the Mysore Government should press its claims for representation on the Board of ---- management before the Subjudge in whose Court the suit is pending or whether it should wait until the decision is given in the matter. I request that the Legislative Secretary to Government may kindly -- be consulted on the point and orders of Government communicated to me.

3. As regards the strength and personnel of the proposed ---- Committee it is doubtful whether the Mysore Government would be -- allowed to have more than two representatives on the Board. I am therefore of opinion that it would be sufficient if the names of - two representatives one an officer of Government and the other a

non-

non-official gentleman of Manjarabad Taluk-are recommended for - inclusion in the committee. It does not seem advisable to have the Mysore Parpathegar as a Representative of the Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(Absent from station.)

KSR.

Letter No.C.C.484-21/dated 11th May 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of my letter No.C.C.484-21 dated 14th April 1925 and with reference to your letter No.C.1112/24-25 dated 13th March 1925 regarding the management of the Sri Subrahmanya Swami temple at Subrahmanya in the South Canara District, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Saklespur Sub Division Officer's Memo No.A1.C.179-24 dated 30th -- April 1925, stating that a leading non-official gentleman of the Manjarabad Taluk and an officer of Mysore Government of the rank and status of an Assistant Commissioner should be appointed as the representatives of the Mysore Government on the committee of the management, when such committee comes into existence. The Sub Division Officer states that early action should be taken as the scheme suit is posted for hearing to the 15th July 1925. He also states that it is not advisible to have Parupathegar, K. Sreenivasa Rao as representative of the Mysore Government, as he is too small a man residing outside the Mysore State. I concur with him in the above opinion.

2. In view of the clear instructions of Government contained in the

Revenue

Revenue Secretary's letter No.820/Muz.266-21-26 dated the 12th December 1923, no action appears to be necessary at this stage i.e., before the decision is arrived at by the Court whether or not a scheme of management is necessary other than the present one. However, a reference - seems necessary from the Government to the Collector of South Canara, intimating to him the desire of this Government to their being represented by a responsible officer of Government-say the Sub Division Officer, Saklespur and a non-official gentleman of Saklespur (to be nominated) and requesting him to apprise the Mysore Government (represented by the Deputy Commissioner or the Sub Division Officer) in time when the projected scheme is taken up."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Memo No.Al.C.179-24 dated the 30th April 1925 from the Sub Divisior Officer, Saklespur Sub Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan --- District.

"The mismanagement of affairs in Subramanya Swami temple under -- Sharma is an open secret. A suit has been going on in the Sub Judge's Court at South Kanara about the management of this temple.

The Government of Mysore may press for having their own representatives in the management of the temple. I submit that it would be --- preferably to have two persons an official and non-official, as our --- representatives on the management.

Unless this representation is given to us, it would be very difficult to see that our charities are properly carried out. As it is, the temple is outside the province and our officers have no locus standii in its management. I learn that on many an occasion, an insulting treatment has been given in the temple to our officers. I submit that if the -- representation is not given it may even be considered to divert out --- charities to this temple and give them to Subramanyeswaraswami temples at Gonibidu (Mudagere Taluk) or Ramanathpur.

A petition was presented to me by Messrs. M.Venkata Ramayya and ... Thimmappayya, two non-official gentlemen who are now entrusted with the work of helping the officers in the management of "Paramagar" Santharpane at Subramanya. It sets forth very clearly all the reasons for the necessity of having Mysore Government Representatives on the management of the Temple. It is enclosed herewith.

The T.A.and other expenses, that are necessary for our representatives to attend the meetings of the committee, if representation is given to us, would be very small, as our Government jurisdiction extends up to six - miles of the temple. And they would be quite compatible with the - efficiency and the prestige that our Government will have if there are our own independent representatives on the management.

The allegations set forth by the petitioner Srinivasa Rao may be true. But under the present circumstances, no action can be taken to give our-- redress.

The suit in question comes on for hearing on 15th July 1925. Hence early action has to be taken in the matter."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

App F.VI G1.]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mang.

File No. 265-21.

Serial No. 32.

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 8

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

To The Inuz Conr.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 452 of 10-6-25 requesting the Opinion of the Local Legislative Secretary as to whether in the scheme suit for the better management of Sri Subrahmanya Swamy temple at Subrahmanya the Govt of mysore should press its claims for representation on the Board of management before the Sub Judge in whose

over

Date of issue 3.7.25

Signed by Mr T. R

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

court the suit is pending
or whether it should wait
until the decision is given
in the matter, I am
directed to state that it is
unnecessary at this stage
to decide who our repre-
sentatives on the managing
Board should be if a
scheme of management
is sanctioned by the Court.
~~I am accordingly to state that~~
All that is necessary now is
to have the proceedings
in the court watched,
on behalf of our interest
and in case, the scheme
suit is successful then to
urge for the consideration
of the court the need
for the nominee Govt
being authorized to nominate
one or two (as the court
may consider proper)
of the members of the
managing committee,

body in view of
large contributi
which ~~has~~ has been making
towards the main
of the enquiry and the
interest they
have in its efficient
management.

I have cle

We may can may be ~~accounts~~ accounts
be instructed to ~~carefully~~ take necessary action accordingly upon the receipt of the report
~~watch the progress of~~

Cash

Dep Rec. S

6.25. 27/6

27/6

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23 7 20

No. Cus2/23-4 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th March 1925.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.



Dr 1513

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.452 of 23 dated - 7th January 1925, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter O.C.No.484-21 dated 7th March 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, ---- Hassan District, reporting as to how the suit filed by Sreenivasa --- Bhatta and others in the Sub Judge's Court of South Canara regarding the better management of the Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple at Subrahmanya now stands.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Head Quarters.)

The Dy. Comr promises to submit a further report in the matter, the receipt of which may be awaited.
KSR.
26/3/25.

26/3

Letter No.O.C.484/21 dated 7th March 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of my letter No.O.C.484-21 dated 31st December 1924 in re: the better management of the Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple at Subrahmanya, I have the honor to state that it will be seen from the Mysore Parpathegar's report received with Manjarabad Amildar's No.119 dated 6th February 1925, that the case will be taken up soon after the scrutiny of some of the old accounts of the temple.

The Subordinate Judge, South Canara, has been requested to --- intimate early at what stage the scheme suit stands and a further -- report will be made on receipt of his reply."

"C o p y"

KSR.

for Muzrai Commissioner. 11/3/25.

27801

No. C 452/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated 15th December 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Sir.

With reference to your letter O.C.No. 484-21 dated 22nd September 1924 re better management of the Sri - - Subrahmanyaswami temple at Subrahmanya, ~~and~~ I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.852/M.F. 265-21 dated 10th December 1924 from the Revenue Secretary to Government and to request to be informed in what stage the case now stands.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD. K. Chandy.
Muzrai Commissioner.

M E M O

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department with reference to his letter No.852/M.F.265-21 dated 10th December 1924. The required information will be submitted on receipt of the Deputy Commissioner's reply.

M.A.N.
Submitted with the connected file for perusal. The Receipt of the reply may be awaited
22-12-24

Asst. Muzrai Commissioner.

20716

30

No. C 452/22-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th January 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

9-JAN 1925 MYSORE

Rev. 1122.

8 JAN. 1925

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.452 of 23-24 dated 18th December 1924 regarding the management of the temple at Subrahmanya I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter O.C.No.484-21 dated 31st December 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, stating that a report has been called for from the Amildar Manjarabad Taluk and that a further communication will be made on receipt of a reply from him.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.

absent from station.

KSR.

This note be placed on the case file of the further Comm awaited.

8/1/25

8.1.25

AV 8/1

Letter No.O.C.484-21 dated 25/26th December 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
31st

"In reply to your letter No.C.452/23-24 dated 18th December 1924 -- forwarding copy of Revenue Secretary's letter No.852/Muz.265-21 dated -- 10-12-24 asking to intimate at what stage the case re: the better management of the Sri Subramanyaswami temple at Subramanya stands, I have the honor to state that no further information is received from the Mysore -- Parapathegar in the matter. A report has been called for separately from the Amildar, Manjarabad, Taluk to-day and on receipt of information a -- further communication will be made."

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

2

37341

O.R. No 32

GEN. SECRETARIAT DEPT. 2-MAR-1925 MLZ

Dr. 1422

No. 156 B.

GENL. & PTL. SECTION Dr. 71 28 FEB. 1925

2/3/25